

# ANNUAL INSPECTION OF CCR UNITS

Oak Grove Steam Electric Station Robertson County, Texas

Submitted To: Luminant Power 6555 Sierra Drive Irving, TX 75039

Submitted By: Golder Associates Inc. 500 Century Plaza Drive, Suite 190 Houston, TX 77073 USA

January 2018



Golder, Golder Associates and the GA globe design are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation



1782004

### CERTIFICATIONS

I hereby certify that this report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the state of Texas.



Jeffrey B. Fassett, PE January 17, 2018 Date Golder Associates Inc. F-2578

ii

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule (Rule) on April 17, 2015. The Rule requires owners or operators of existing CCR surface impoundments and landfills to have those units inspected on an annual basis by a qualified professional engineer in accordance with 40 CFR §257.83(b) §257.84(b).

Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) was retained by Luminant Power to perform the annual inspection of the CCR units at the Oak Grove Steam Electric Station located near Franklin, Robertson County, Texas.

The inspection included the following:

- Review of applicable information regarding the status and condition of each CCR unit
- A visual inspection of each CCR unit to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures
- A visual inspection of hydraulic structures underlying the base of each CCR unit or passing through the dike of each CCR unit for structural integrity and continued safe and reliable operation

JAMA



# **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2.0	FACILITY DESCRIPTION	. 2
3.0	REVIEW OF OPERATIONAL RECORDS	. 3
4.0	VISUAL INSPECTION OF CCR UNITS	.4
5.0	INSPECTION REPORT	.6
6.0	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS	.7
7.0	CLOSING	. 8

# List of Tables

Table 1	CCR Unit Information
Table 2	Summary of Findings and Recommendations

# List of Figures

Figure 1 General Site Map

# List of Appendices

Appendix A	Inspection Checklists
Appendix B	Photographs



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published the final rule governing the disposal of coal combustion residuals (CCR) as solid waste regulated under Subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) on April 17, 2015, with an effective date of October 19, 2015. The CCR Rule establishes national minimum criteria and new CCR management obligations for existing, new, and lateral expansions of CCR disposal units. One of the new obligations pertains to inspections, specifically; CCR unit owners/operators must initiate the following activities:

- weekly inspections and monthly instrument monitoring of CCR Units by October 19, 2015; and
- annual inspections of CCR units by January 18, 2015.

This report presents the results of the 2017 annual inspection of the CCR units at the Oak Grove Steam Electric Station (SES) conducted to comply with §257.83 and §257.84 of the CCR Rule.

In accordance with §257.83(b)(1)(ii) and (iii) and §257.84(b)(1)(ii), a visual inspection was conducted on November 16, 2017. The objectives of the inspection are:

- to identify signs of distress or malfunction of each CCR unit and appurtenant structures; and
- to assess the structural integrity and continued safe and reliable operation of hydraulic structures underlying the base of each surface impoundment.

In accordance with §257.83(b)(2) and §257.84(b)(2), this inspection report has been prepared by a qualified professional engineer documenting the points listed above, and identifying the following since the previous annual inspection:

- any changes in geometry of the structure;
- location and type of existing instrumentation and the maximum recorded readings of each instrument (CCR surface impoundments only);
- the approximate minimum, maximum, and present depth/elevation of impounded water and CCR (CCR surface impoundments only);
- the storage capacity of the impounding structure at the time of inspection (CCR surface impoundments only);
- approximate volume of impounded water and/or CCR;
- any appearances of actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit; and
- any other changes which may have affected the stability or operation of the structure since the previous annual inspection.



	January 2018	2	1782004
--	--------------	---	---------

#### 2.0 FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Oak Grove SES is located near Franklin, Robertson County, Texas. The 1,600 MW SES burns lignite mined at the Luminant Kosse Mine, located approximately 5 miles north of the facility.

The following CCR units are present at the site.

- CCR surface impoundments;
  - FGD-A Pond
  - FGD-B Pond
  - FGD-C Pond
- CCR landfill:
  - Ash Landfill 1

Coal combustion byproducts such as fly ash, bottom ash, gypsum/scrubber sludge, and process wastewater are being generated during operation. Gypsum/scrubber sludge that cannot be recycled and selected process wastewaters are currently managed in FGD-A, FGD-B, and FGD-C Ponds. All fly ash and bottom ash generated at the facility is handled in a dry manner and deposited in Ash Landfill 1, other than that amount that is sold/beneficially used in accordance with the CCR regulation.

The locations of the surface impoundments and landfill are shown on Figure 1.



#### 3.0 REVIEW OF OPERATIONAL RECORDS

The CCR Rule (§257.83(b)(1)(i) and §257.84(b)(i)) requires a review of information regarding the status and condition of each CCR unit, including the following items.

- Design and construction information.
- Previous periodic structural stability assessments (CCR surface impoundments only).
- Results of weekly inspections and monthly instrumentation monitoring by a qualified person.
- Results of previous annual inspections.
- Other operating records.

As part of this annual inspection, Golder reviewed the following documents:

- History of Construction, CCR Surface Impoundments, Oak Grove SES, Golder Associates Inc., October 2016.
- TCEQ Registration Package, Oak Grove Steam Electric Station, FGD-C Pond, Golder Associates Inc., June 2015.
- Structural Stability Assessment Report, Oak Grove Steam Electric Station, Golder Associates Inc., October 2016.
- Safety Factor Assessment Report, Oak Grove Steam Electric Station, Golder Associates Inc., October 2016.
- Previous annual inspection reports:
  - Golder Associates Inc., January 2016.
  - Golder Associates Inc., January 2017.
- 7-Day Inspection Checklists.
- Water level readings.

Annual CCR inspection reports for Ash Landfill 1 were prepared for inspections during 2015 and 2016. An annual CCR inspection report was prepared for the FGD-A and FGD-B ponds in 2015; however, since the 5-year structural stability assessment was performed for the ponds during 2016, an inspection report was not prepared for 2016. FGD-C was not operational until 2016; therefore, this is the first annual inspection report addressing FGD-C.



1782004

#### 4.0 VISUAL INSPECTION OF CCR UNITS

In accordance with §257(b)(1)(ii)-(iii) and §258(b)(1)(ii), a visual inspection of the surface impoundments and landfill was conducted on November 16, 2017 by Jeffrey B. Fassett and by Varenya Kumar. Both Mr. Fassett and Varenya Kumar are registered professional engineers in the State of Texas. Larry Johnston and Kim Eiman of Luminant accompanied Mr. Fassett and Mr. Kumar during the inspection.

Prior to conducting the visual inspection, Luminant provided checklists from the 7-day inspections and daily freeboard levels in the ponds. In addition, the objectives of the visual inspection and safety concerns were discussed.

No conditions with the potential to result in structural weakness of the impoundment embankments or that could potentially disrupt the operation and safety of the impoundments were reported in the 7-day inspections. Recommended action items were limited to routine maintenance of that do not currently have the potential to result in structural weakness or disrupt the operation and safety of the impoundments and landfill.

Field checklists and maps of each CCR unit were used to record the findings. Photographs were taken to provide an additional record. The checklists and photographs are included in Appendix A and B, respectively.

The recommendations from the previous annual CCR inspection reports and the status of activities to address the recommendations at the time of the 2017 Annual CCR Inspection can be summarized as follows:

CCR Unit	Previous Recommendation	Current Status
	Grade crest to prevent ponding and rutting on crest	Rutting less pronounced but erosion due to ponding water visible along east crest.
FGD-A (2015 Inspection)	Avoid mowing west downstream slope during wet periods to avoid forming ruts	Ruts appear to be less pronounced.
	Animal burrows present. Consider animal control program.	Fewer animal burrows present but more hog rooting present. Animal control program is on- going.
FGD-B (2015 Inspection)	Wave action erosion present on upstream slope. Consider alternate erosion protection.	Much of the upstream slope has been regraded. Wave action and erosion rills due to surface water runoff from crest present.
	Erosion rills present in recently planted areas and high	Grass cover good throughout. Isolated areas with vegetation exceeding 6 inches.





1782004

CCR Unit	Previous Recommendation	Current Status
	vegetation observed along southern embankment.	
	Animal burrows present. Consider animal control program.	Fewer animal burrows present but more hog rooting present. Animal control program is on- going.
	Erosion was observed in recently repaired or recently constructed areas of the embankment. Repair areas.	Grass cover has improved but erosion still present. Some reseeded areas still not growing well.
	Significant hog rooting observed. Identify what hogs are rooting for.	Hog rooting continues to be an issue.
Ash Landfill 1 (2016 Inspection)	Vegetation present on upstream slope protective cover. Check root depth and remove it they exceed 1 foot.	Vegetation present on exposed upstream slopes and Cell 4 floor.
	Ponding due to rutting and blocked drop boxes. Increase maintenance of drop boxes.	Conditions improved.
	Seepage eroding soil below woven geotextile in the concrete block-lined downchute on lower bench of Cell 3. Monitor.	No changes noticed.

A summary of the 2017 inspection findings and items recommended for repair or maintenance is provided in Table 2.





#### 5.0 **INSPECTION REPORT**

Table 1 provides information for each of the items listed in §257.83(b)(2) and §258.84(b)(2), related to the inspection report.

#### Table 1: CCR Unit Information

ltem	FGD-A Pond	FGD-B Pond	FGD-C	Ash Landfill 1
Changes in Geometry	None Additional piping is present east of the eastern embankment.	Soil cover on upstream slope regraded		Cell 2 has reached capacity.
Maximum Instrumentation Readings <sup>(1)</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approx. Min., Max., and Present Impounded Water Elev.	Max. = 444.3 ft Min. = 436.4 ft Present = 442.5 ft	Max. = 424.8 ft Min. = 416.3 ft Present = 421.4 ft	Max. = 461.9 ft Min. = 453.7 ft Present = 458.6 ft	N/A
Approximate Volume of water/CCR in Unit <sup>(2), (3)</sup>	Approx. 200,000 cy	Approx.80,000 cy	Approx. 300,000	Approx. 9.5 million cy
Approximate Storage Capacity	Approx. 230,000 cy	Approx. 150,000 cy	Approx. 350,000 cy	N/A
Observed Structural Weakness of the CCR Unit	None	None	None	None
Changes That Affect Stability or Operation of the CCR Unit	None	None	None	None

Notes:

No instrumentation is in place to monitor structural stability in the CCR units.
 Volumes of CCR in FGD Ponds estimated based on field observations.

3. Volume of CCR in Ash Landfill 1 from Luminant.

Between January 4 and February 23, 2017, the water level in FGD-A exceeded the maximum operation elevation by as much as 0.7 feet.



#### 6.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Based on observations made on November 16, 2017, the overall condition of the three surface impoundments and ash landfill is good. No structural weaknesses or safety issues were observed within the embankments. In addition, there were no signs of problems within the hydraulic structures underlying the base of the surface impoundments that could adversely affect the integrity and continued safe and reliable operation.

A summary of the findings and items recommended for repair or maintenance is provided in Table 2.





8

1782004

#### 7.0 CLOSING

This report has been prepared in general accordance with normally accepted civil engineering practices to fulfill reporting requirements in accordance with 40 CFR 257.83(b) and 257.84(b). Based on our review of the information provided by Luminant and on our on-site inspection, the overall condition of the surface impoundments and ash landfill is good.

GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.

filling Hordon

William E. Gordon, PE Senior Engineer

JBF/VK/



Jeffrey B. Fassett, PE Associate Geotechnical Engineer



TABLES

#### Table 2: Summary of Findings and Recommendations

CCR UNIT	Component	Condition * (Good/ Fair/ Poor)	Actions Since Last Inspections and Other Observations and Remarks	Photograph	Severity * (Minor/ Moderate/ Severe)	Further Actions and Recommendations
	U/S Slope	Fair	Erosion due to wave action and surface water runoff from crest is present along north and east slopes.	8	Minor	Continue to monitor.
	Crest	Fair	There are areas of rutting and minor ponding. Erosion due to standing water near southeast.	1, 11	Moderate	Consider grading to drain toward downstream slope. Keep vehicles off crest after storm events.
Pone	D/S		Good grass cover on all areas except in hog rooting areas. Isolated areas with grass > 6 inches.	21, 22, 27	Minor	Continue to monitor.
FGD-A	Slope	Good	Fewer animal burrows visible. Hog damage much more severe.	20, 25, 26	Moderate	Continue to monitor and repair. Investigate more effective methods to control hogs.
	Inlet Pipes	Fair	Solids above the waterline around the inlet. Small depression above one inlet pipe.	1, 24	Minor	No action required. Continue to monitor.
	Outlet Pipes	Good	Pipes added to connect to FGD-C.	13		•
	U/S		The upstream slope has been regraded. Erosion rills forming due to surface water runoff.	31, 32	Moderate	Consider grading to drain toward downstream slope. Consider alternate erosion protection: e.g. gravel-filled geowebbing, more robust erosion control matting (HydroTurf).
puo	Slope Fair		Small scarp above inlet from FGD-A.	35	Minor	Monitor.
D-B P	Crest	Good	There are areas of rutting and minor ponding, e.g. in northeast corner.	29	Minor	Keep vehicles off crest after storm events.
В В	D/S	Good	Good grass cover on all areas except in hog rooting areas. Isolated areas with vegetation > 6 inches.	37- 43	Moderate	Continue to monitor.
	Slope	ope	Two animal burrows visible improvement over last inspection. Hog rooting more severe.		Moderate	Continue to monitor and repair. Investigate more effective methods to control hogs.
	U/S Slope	Good	Upstream slope lined with cementitious fly ash. Minor erosion primarily due to surface water runoff	45, 49	Minor	
	Crest	Good	Crest covered with crushed gravel.	48	Minor	
-C Pond	D/S	Good	Good grass cover on all areas except near toe of west and southwest slope. Isolated areas with grass > 6 inches.	69, 44	Minor	Continue to monitor.
FGD	Бюре		Several animal burrows visible. Some hog rooting visible.		Minor	Continue to monitor and repair. Investigate more effective methods to control hogs.
	Inlet Pipes	Good	11 inlets pipes along SE portion of pond.	47		
	Outlet Pipes	Good	Upstream end submerged. Downstream end observed.	64		



FIGURES



	YYYY-MM-DD	2018-01-05
	DESIGNED	VK
	PREPARED	TNB
Associates	REVIEWED	WEG
	APPROVED	JBF

# APPENDIX A INSPECTION CHECKLISTS

. PEN. ...CTION Cι 

# OAK GROVE SES

# IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-A Pond

#### **INSPECTION DATE: 11/16/2017**

			EMBANKMENT		
LED	1 of 2				
ARE/	ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS		
	1	SURFACE CRACKING	None		
	2	CAVE IN, ANIMAL BURROWS	None		
	3	LOW AREA(S)	None		
ST	4	HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Good		
CRE	5	RUTS AND/OR PUDDLES	Minor, up to 4-inch rut depth in northwest and northeast and minor ponding in northeast		
	6	VEGETATION CONDITION	None, gravel or bare soil		
	7	TREES	None		
	8	OTHER	Erosion due to standing water in southeast.		
	9	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	None		
DPE	10	SLOPE PROTECTION	Gravel placed on southern slope. Geomembrane and riprap at dividing dike.		
SLC	11	SINKHOLE, ANIMAL BURROW	None observed		
E.	12	EMBABUT. CONTACT	NA		
KE A	13	EROSION	Erosion due to surface water and wave action present		
STR	14	VEGETATION CONDITION	No vegetation on southern slope		
βάΩ	15	TREES	None		
	16	OTHER			
ADDITIONAL COM	<u>/ME</u>	NTS: Consider regrading crest to c	drain to downstream slope to reduce erosion on upstream slope.		

#### IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-A Pond

			EMBANKMENT			
TED	2 of 2					
ARE	ITEM NO.		OBSERVATIONS			
	17	WET AREAS	None			
щ	18	SEEPAGE	None			
ЧO.	19	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	None			
SL	20	EMBABUT. CONTACT	Good			
MA	21	CAVE IN, ANIMAL BURROW	Fewer burrows than previously observed. Hog damage much more severe			
RE	22	EROSION	Minor			
ST	23	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT	None			
NMO	24	VEGETATION CONTROL	Good grass cover except for areas with hog damage. Isolated areas with vegetation > 6 inches.			
Δ	25	BENCH	NA			
	26	OTHER				
L	27	PIEZOMETERS/OBSERV. WELLS	NA			
	28	STAFF GAUGE AND RECORDER	NA. Freeboard measured manually.			
	29	WEIRS	NA			
ATI	30	SURVEY MONUMENTS	NA			
SN	31	DRAINS	NA			
=	32	FREQUENCY OF READINGS	Freeboard measurements 1 to 4 days			
ADDITIONAL COM	IMEN	NTS:				

IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-A Pond

			HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES		
TED	1 of 1				
ARE/	ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS		
	33	INLET PIPES	Two new pipes between FGD-A pump station to FGD-C installed. Small depression above HDPE pipe. Inlets submerged.		
	34	TRASHRACK	NA		
	35	STILLING BASIN	NA		
KS	36	PRIMARY CLOSURE	NA		
ORI	37	SECONDARY CLOSURE	NA		
Ň	38	CONTROL MECHANISM	One valve to discharge pipe to FGD-B		
шгет	39	OUTLET PIPE	Pipe between FGD-A pump station to FGD-B installed. Numerous pipes between plant to FGD-C installed south of FGD-A.		
no	40	OUTLET TOWER	NA		
	41	EROSION ALONG DAM TOE	None		
	42	SEEPAGE	None observed. Standing water on pump station pad appears to be from pumps.		
	43	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT	None		
	44	OTHER			
ADDITIONAL COMM	ENTS:				

# OAK GROVE SES

IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-B Pond

**INSPECTION DATE: 11/16/2017** 

	EMBANKMENT			
AREA INSPECTED	1 of 2			
	ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS	
	1	SURFACE CRACKING	None	
	2	CAVE IN, ANIMAL BURROWS	None	
L	3	LOW AREA(S)	None	
EST	4	HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Good	
CRI	5	RUTS AND/OR PUDDLES	Minor, up to 4-inch rut depth in northeast corner.	
C	6	VEGETATION CONDITION	Varies from good to bare soil	
	7	TREES	None	
	8	OTHER		
	9	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	Minor scarp at inlet from FGD-A	
DE	10	SLOPE PROTECTION	Southern slope has been regraded.	
SLO	11	SINKHOLE, ANIMAL BURROW	None observed	
Σ	12	EMBABUT. CONTACT	NA	
EA	13	EROSION	Erosion along western and northern slope due to surface water.	
TR.	14	VEGETATION CONDITION	Poor along recently regraded areas.	
al	15	TREES	None	
2	16	OTHER		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				

#### IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-B Pond

	EMBANKMENT			
ED	2 of 2			
AREA INSPECT	ITEM NO.		OBSERVATIONS	
	17	WET AREAS	None	
ш	18	SEEPAGE	None	
ОР	19	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	None	
SL	20	EMBABUT. CONTACT	Good	
MA	21	CAVE IN, ANIMAL BURROW	Fewer burrows than previously observed. Hog damage much more severe.	
RE/	22	EROSION	Minor	
STI	23	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT	None	
NMO	24	VEGETATION CONTROL	Good grass cover except for areas with hog damage. Isolated areas with vegetation > 6 inches.	
Δ	25	BENCH	NA	
	26	OTHER		
	27	PIEZOMETERS/OBSERV. WELLS	NA	
	28	STAFF GAUGE AND RECORDER	NA. Freeboard measured manually.	
	29	WEIRS	NA	
ATI	30	SURVEY MONUMENTS	NA	
ISN	31	DRAINS	NA	
=	32	FREQUENCY OF READINGS	Freeboard measurements 1 to 4 days	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

 IMPOUNDMENT:
 FGD-B Pond

	HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES			
AREA INSPECTED	1 of 1			
	ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS	
	33	INLET PIPES	Primary pipe discharges into east side of pond. Inlet submerged.	
	34	TRASHRACK	NA	
<i>(</i> 0	35	STILLING BASIN	NA	
SKS	36	PRIMARY CLOSURE	NA	
NOF NOF	37	SECONDARY CLOSURE	NA	
× ⊢	38	CONTROL MECHANISM	One valve to discharge pipe to FGD-B	
	39	OUTLET PIPE	Outlet of pipe from pump station below grade (not visible).	
LUC	40	OUTLET TOWER	NA	
0	41	EROSION ALONG DAM TOE	None	
	42	SEEPAGE	None observed.	
	43	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT	None	
	44	OTHER		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				

# OAK GROVE SES

# IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-C Pond

#### **INSPECTION DATE: 11/16/2017**

	EMBANKMENT			
A TED	1 of 2			
ARE	ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS	
	1	SURFACE CRACKING	None	
	2	CAVE IN, ANIMAL BURROWS	None	
L	3	LOW AREA(S)	None	
ESI	4	HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Good	
CRI	5	RUTS AND/OR PUDDLES	None	
	6	VEGETATION CONDITION	None, gravel	
	7	TREES	None	
	8	OTHER		
	9	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	None	
Ido	10	SLOPE PROTECTION	Cemetitious fly ash lines slopes	
SLG	11	SINKHOLE, ANIMAL BURROW	None	
×	12	EMBABUT. CONTACT	NA	
SE/	13	EROSION	Minor; primarily from surface water run-off.	
STF	14	VEGETATION CONDITION	NA	
ián N	15	TREES	None	
	16	OTHER		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				

#### IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-C Pond

	EMBANKMENT			
AREA INSPECTED	2 of 2			
	ITEM NO.		OBSERVATIONS	
	17	WET AREAS	None	
	18	SEEPAGE	None	
ш	19	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	None	
<u>Ч</u> О	20	EMBABUT. CONTACT	NA	
AM SL	21	CAVE IN, ANIMAL BURROW	Several burrows found. Hog damage present but less severe than other ponds.	
RE	22	EROSION	Moderate rilling in SE corner.	
IST	23	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT	None	
DOWN	24	VEGETATION CONTROL	Generally good. Poor vegetation near toe of west and southwest slopes. Moderate rilling in southeast corner. Isolated areas with grass > 6 inches.	
	25	BENCH	NA	
	26	OTHER		
νтι	27	PIEZOMETERS/OBSERV. WELLS	NA	
4TV	28	STAFF GAUGE AND RECORDER	NA. Freeboard measured manually.	
Z dE	29	WEIRS	NA	
л р	30	SURVEY MONUMENTS	NA	
STF	31	DRAINS	NA	
Z	32	FREQUENCY OF READINGS	Freeboard measurements 1 to 4 days	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

IMPOUNDMENT: FGD-C Pond

	HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES				
TED	1 of 1				
ARE/ INSPEC	ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS		
	33	INLET PIPES	Eleven inlet pipes. One discharging into pond.		
	34	TRASHRACK	NA		
	35	STILLING BASIN	NA		
XS	36	PRIMARY CLOSURE	NA		
ORI	37	SECONDARY CLOSURE	NA		
Ň	38	CONTROL MECHANISM	NA		
E I	39	OUTLET PIPE	One outlet pipe.		
Ę	40	OUTLET TOWER	NA		
O	41	EROSION ALONG DAM TOE	None		
	42	SEEPAGE	None observed.		
	43	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT	None		
	44	OTHER	<u> </u>		
ADDITIONAL COMME	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				

#### **INSPECTION DATE: 11/16/2017**

		ASN Landfill 1			
ITEM NO.	CONDITION	OBSERVATIONS			
1	SURFACE CRACKING	None			
2	ANIMAL BURROWS	Animal burrows present, particularly on south side.			
3	SLIDE, SLOUGH, SCARP	None			
4	SETTLEMENT/DEPRESSIONS	None in embankment			
5	VEGETATION CONDITION	Vegetation on Cell 1 final cover has improved. Still areas with poor coverage. Extensive hog rooting visible. Vegetation present on protective cover on sideslopes and cell floor.			
6	EROSION	Considerable erosion along Cell 4 downstream slopes. Areas of erosion present in Cell 1 final cover.			
7	DRAINAGE FEATURES	Ponding water on benches causing erosion.			
8	DOWNCHUTES	Erosion present below concrete block downchute on southern slope of Cell 3 not performing well. Geomembrane downchutes functioning well.			
9	FINAL COVER INTRUSIONS	None			
10	CONTROL OF CONTACT WATER	Berms placed along south side of Cell 2 to control contact water.			
		downchute is located below the lowermost bench. Water is flowing below rather than above			

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: (8) The Cell 3 downchute is located below the lowermost bench. Water is flowing below rather than above the articulated concrete block lining. The condition is not adversely affecting the stability function of the landfill.



Pond FGD- A thru C




















































CAMERA LOCATION: 31.1847N, -96.4921W (Smartphone GPS)

PHOTO DESCRIPTION: Northeastern downstream slope – looking SE. Hog damage.

FILE REF.

SCALE: NTS

Nov 2017 download 145.JF

**PHOTO 26** 



























CAMERA LOCATION: 31.1845N, -96.496W (Smartphone GPS)

PHOTO DESCRIPTION: Southwestern downstream slope – looking SE. Hog damage near crest.

FILE REF.

SCALE: NTS

Nov 2017 download 172.JF

**PHOTO 39** 






















CAMERA LOCATION: 31.1829N, -96.4976W (Smartphone GPS)

PHOTO DESCRIPTION: Western slope of divider berm – looking SE.

FILE REF.

SCALE: NTS

Nov 2017 download 193.JI

**PHOTO 50** 

REV: 0











































Ash Landfill













CAMERA LOCATION: 31.1714N, –96.4989W (Smartphone GPS)

PHOTO DESCRIPTION: Internal slope of Cell 3 with vegetation.

KEY MAP:



		ANNUAL INSPECTION – OAK GROVE SES				
		LUMINANT ENERGY				
		OAK GROVE, TEXAS				
	ASH LANDFILL 1					
	Â	PROJECT NO. 1782004			FILE REF. IMG_20171116_110754	
		DESIGN	VK	11/09/2017	SCALE: NTS	REV: 0
	Golder	CHECK	VK	11/09/2017	БЦО	ΤΟ 6
		REVIEW	JBF	11/09/2017	FHOID®	






























Established in 1960, Golder Associates is a global, employee-owned organization that helps clients find sustainable solutions to the challenges of finite resources, energy and water supply and management, waste management, urbanization, and climate change. We provide a wide range of independent consulting, design, and construction services in our specialist areas of earth, environment, and energy. By building strong relationships and meeting the needs of clients, our people have created one of the most trusted professional services organizations in the world.

- Africa Asia Australasia Europe North America South America
- + 27 11 254 4800
- + 852 2562 3658
- + 61 3 8862 3500
- + 356 21 42 30 20
- + 1 800 275 3281

+ 56 2 2616 2000

solutions@golder.com www.golder.com



Engineering Earth's Development, Preserving Earth's Integrity

Golder Associates Inc. 500 Century Plaza Drive, Suite 190 Houston, TX 77073 USA Tel: (281) 821-6868 Fax: (281) 821-6870